Vacuolar H\(^+\)-ATPases (V-ATPases) as therapeutic targets: a brief review and recent developments
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Abstract: Vacuolar H\(^+\)-ATPases (V-ATPases) are multi-subunit enzymes that play housekeeping roles in eukaryotic cells by acidifying lysosomes, late endosomes, Golgi, and other membrane-bounded compartments. Beyond that, V-ATPases have specialized functions in certain cell types linked to diseases including osteoporosis and cancer. Efforts to identify strategies to develop inhibitors selective for V-ATPases that are involved in disease progression have been ongoing for more than two decades, but so far have not yielded a therapeutic agent that has been translated to the clinic. Recent basic science studies have identified unexpected roles for V-ATPases in nutrient and energy sensing, and renin/angiotensin signaling, which offer additional incentives for considering V-ATPases as therapeutic targets. This article briefly reviews efforts to utilize inhibitors of V-ATPases as drugs. Primary focus is on recent “rational” efforts to identify small molecule inhibitors of the V-ATPases that are selectively expressed in osteoclasts and cancer cells. Enoxacin and bis-enoxacin are two molecules that emerged from these efforts. These molecules block a binding interaction between V-ATPases and microfilaments that occurs in osteoclasts, but not most other cell types, which relates to the specialized function of V-ATPases in bone resorption. Enoxacin and bis-enoxacin have proven useful in the treatment of bone diseases and cancer in animal models and display therapeutic effects that are different, and perhaps better, than current drugs. These results provide evidence that agents targeting subsets of V-ATPases may prove useful in the clinic.

Keywords: Enoxacin; osteoporosis; cancer; bafilomycin; bone

Received: 20 November 2017; Accepted: 03 December 2017; Published: 13 December 2017.

doi: 10.21037/biotarget.2017.12.01

View this article at: http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/biotarget.2017.12.01
is 3A:3B:1C:1D:3E:3G:1F:1H. The stoichiometry of V0 is 1a:1b:5c:1d:1f. In addition to the isoforms listed, there are splice variants of some of the subunits (8). With the various isoforms and splice variants, the diversity of V-ATPases is high, although many questions remain regarding how these variations influence the ability of V-ATPases to carry out their various roles in cells.

In addition to the core subunits, two accessory proteins have been identified AC45 (ATP6AP1) (9,10) and the (pro) renin receptor (PRR; also known as ATP6AP2) (11-14). AC45 regulates of V-ATPases in certain tissues. The PRR was first identified associated with the V-ATPase isolated from bovine chromaffin granules and called M8-9 (15), which was subsequently found to be from a gene in a chromosomal area linked to X-linked cone-rod dystrophy (16). The PRR gene, however, was not responsible for the pathology. Later this gene was identified as the “long sought” PRR, a protein that binds and activates (pro) renin, and enhances the activity of renin (17).

There are now strong evidences that the PRR is an essential chaperone required for the assembly and targeting of V-ATPase subunits (14,18-20). It is also an essential component of the canonical and non-canonical planar cell polarity WNT pathways (11,21,22). The PRR interacts with the c and d subunits of V-ATPases (23). Despite its name and activity, there is controversy regarding whether the ability to activate (pro) renin is of physiological importance (14).

**V-ATPase-binding proteins and integration with cellular physiology**

Numerous proteins bind to one or more V-ATPase subunit and this represents a means by which V-ATPases integrate into overall cellular physiology. The PRR interacts with a large number of proteins, including flamingo, cadherin EGF LAG seven-pass G-type receptor, frizzled, low-density lipoprotein receptor-related protein 6, (pro) renin, wingless-related integration site, phosphatase of regenerating liver-1, actin-binding LIM protein 2, CapZ alpha 2, pyruvate dehydrogenase E1, partitioning defective 3, and dihydrolipoamide acetyltransferase (E2) (11,24-27).

In addition to PRR-binding proteins, a number of other proteins interact with V-ATPases. These include actin-filaments (28-31), aldolase and other glycolytic enzymes (32,33), NHE-RF and other PDZ-domain containing proteins (34), and ARNO (cytohesin 2) and Arf6 (35,36). Inside out amino acid sensing involving V-ATPases in association with mTorC1 and the Regulator complex was demonstrated several years ago (37,38). Very recently, a study supporting a role for V-ATPase in energy sensing...
appeared. Binding of Lamtor1 and the Ragulator complex was shown to be regulated by the presence of active aldolase bound to V-ATPase (39). V-ATPases are also the target of proteins that are elements of infectious agents. The papillomavirus E5 oncoprotein interacts with V-ATPase, which is involved in cellular transformation in cervical cancer (40).

In general, data support the conception of V-ATPases as proton pumps that integrate with various processes in cells through multiple routes (Figure 2). The PDZ-binding domain found in the B1-isoform of B-subunit, but not B2, suggests a way in which specialized V-ATPases are controlled (34). In most cases, the mechanism of specialized usage of V-ATPases is unclear. The integration of V-ATPases into overall cell physiology implies that disruption of V-ATPases must be carefully managed because consequences beyond disruption of acidification of luminal compartments (which is itself profoundly disruptive) can be expected.

**Pioneering efforts to selectively-inhibit V-ATPases**

Bafilomycins, macrolide antibiotics were the first relatively specific inhibitors of V-ATPases identified (41). Soon thereafter, V-ATPase activity was shown to be required for bone resorption by osteoclasts (3). Osteoclasts express a much-studied example of specialized V-ATPases. In addition to the low levels of housekeeping enzymes found in all nucleated eukaryotic cells, osteoclasts also express a specialized subset of V-ATPases, present at 10–100 time higher levels than the housekeeping enzymes, which are transported to a subdomain domain of the plasma membrane called the ruffled plasma membrane or ruffled border (Figure 3) (42). The ruffled border targeted V-ATPases appear identical to housekeeping V-ATPases except that they contain isoforms of the a-subunit and d-subunit that are only expressed in osteoclasts and a few other few cell types.

The vital role of V-ATPases in bone resorption immediately suggested that a V-ATPase inhibitor might be an ideal agent to treat osteoporosis and other bone diseases that involve excess bone resorption. V-ATPases are also important for cancer cell metastasis, identifying cancer as another important pathology in which V-ATPases represent possible therapeutic targets (6,43). Unfortunately, bafilomycins are toxic to animals. This was expected given the central role of V-ATPases in acidification of vesicles and organelles. As mentioned above, two elements of the V-ATPases involved in bone resorption differ from the housekeeping enzymes; the a3-isoform of a-subunit and the d2-isoform of d-subunit, which have distributions that are more restricted (44–46). Thus, specific inhibitors for osteoclast V-ATPases might be possible.

Incentive to produce osteoclast specific inhibitors soon increased. Mutations in the a3- and d2- subunits triggered...
a form of osteopetrosis in which resorption was reduced (d2) (47) or blocked (a3) (48), and osteoblast bone formation occurred at a higher rate than normal. In patients with a3-mutated, this resulted in catastrophic uncoupling of bone remodeling leading to the disease autosomal malignant osteopetrosis (49,50). However, a therapeutic agent or strategy that blocked the activity of a3- or d2-containing V-ATPases might be selective enough to avoid systemic injuries in adults while triggering bone anabolic effects (51,52). Such an approach might treat osteoporosis better than the current standard of care.

Osteoclast V-ATPase selective inhibitors have been reported. The first was a bafilomycin derivative called SB242784 (53). Testing of SB 242784 in the rat ovariectomized model for post-menopausal osteoporosis suggested that it was effective blocking bone loss, but that it required high doses to be effective. No overt adverse effects were reported over a 6-month period. Importantly, careful testing failed to detect disruption in urinary function (53). Despite this positive start, SB 242784 has not advanced further in preclinical or clinical studies.

FR167356, a V-ATPase inhibitor with a novel chemical structure, was reported to selectively inhibit osteoclast V-ATPases (54). It also blocked bone loss in the ovariectomized rat model, and at very high doses exhibited some of the expected anabolic effects. As with SB 242784, no disruption of kidney function was detected, even though FR167356 did not demonstrate the selectivity for the osteoclast V-ATPases compared with kidney V-ATPases that was displayed by SB 242784. Some concerns arise because rodent kidneys may not reflect damage that would occur to the human kidney (55).

Additional non-selective inhibitors have been reported. These include small molecules diphyllins (56), archazolids (57), salicylihalamide A (58), cleistanthin-A (59), iiejimalides (60), and tulipaline A (61) and the protein inhibitor, Pea Albumin 1 subunit b (62). A number of studies have reported that bafilomycin and other non-selective V-ATPase inhibitors may be useful for treatment of certain types of cancers (63-70). To date these have not advanced into the clinic. Usefulness of non-selective V-ATPase inhibitors in cancer will likely require localized delivery and maintenance of the inhibitor at the site of the tumor. There is some evidence that certain types of cancer, like cancers with low cathepsin D activity, may be especially vulnerable to inhibition of V-ATPases (71). In such cases, a

Figure 3 Only a few V-ATPases are required for housekeeping functions in osteoclasts. To resorb, bone osteoclasts must express very high numbers of V-ATPases and transport them to the ruffled plasma membrane. These enzymes pump protons to acidify the extracellular resorption compartment, which by its nature is leaky. Reduction of the pH in the resorption compartment solubilizes bone mineral and provides an environment for the acid cysteine protease cathepsin K to be active in degrading the organic matrix of bone. V-ATPases, vacuolar H⁺-ATPases; PRR, (pro) renin receptor.
therapeutic window may exist for non-selective V-ATPase inhibitors.

There is strong evidence that certain types of cancers express plasma membrane V-ATPases and that these V-ATPases contain atypical V-ATPase subunits like the a3- and a4-isoforms of a-subunit (72). Targeting these isoforms or special characteristics associated with cancer V-ATPases might be therapeutically useful.

**Rational approaches to generating selective inhibitors of V-ATPases**

Selective inhibitors of V-ATPases in bone and certain cancers could prove of great clinical benefit. However, with the lack of success of efforts to develop selective inhibitors of V-ATPases as therapeutic agents despite extensive efforts, it is not clear that such agents will ever emerge from traditional screens. Increasing knowledge of the overall structure and mechanism of action evokes pessimism that selective inhibitors of the proton pumping activity in osteoclasts or cancer cells are possible. Rational approaches to selectively inhibiting V-ATPases based on structural features or secondary activities known to be found in specific subtypes of V-ATPases related to diseases, have recently been explored.

**Disruption of subunit-subunit interactions between the V-ATPase isoforms found selectively in osteoclasts.**

A strategy, taken by Morris Manolson’s lab at the University of Toronto, involved identifying inhibitors of protein-binding interactions between the V-ATPase subunits selectively expressed in osteoclast V-ATPases, a3 and d2, without perturbing interactions between other isoforms of the d-subunit and a-subunit (73). To accomplish this, in vitro protein-protein interactions between the a-subunit and d-subunit isoforms with each other or other V-ATPase subunit-binding partners were identified by yeast two-hybrid assays (74). Solid phase medium throughput assays were then developed for screening small molecule inhibitors of the interactions that had been identified. From these studies, inhibitors of binding between the a3 and B2 subunits and a3 and d2 subunits were identified, that had less effects on interaction between the other isoforms of a-subunit and d-subunit, and thus would be predicted to be selective for osteoclasts (Figure 4).

An inhibitor of the a3-B2 interaction, 3,4-dihydroxy-N’-(2-hydroxybenzylidene)benzohydrazide, was characterized in cell culture (74). As expected, this molecule strongly inhibited bone resorption, at concentrations where it did not significantly reduce the viability of osteoclasts, or the differentiation of precursors into osteoclasts. However, in vivo testing of this molecule has not yet been reported.

In similar screens, the molecule luteolin was identified as a selective inhibitor of binding between a3 and d2 (75). Luteolin is a flavone found in leaves, rinds, barks, clover blossoms and ragweed pollen. It is in dietary staples including celery, broccoli, green pepper, parsley, dandelion, chamomile tea, carrots, olive oil, peppermint, rosemary, navel oranges, oregano, and other sources (76). Unlike 3,4-dihydroxy-N’-(2-hydroxybenzylidene)benzohydrazide, luteolin had been previously proposed to have therapeutic benefits and several mechanisms advanced prior to the its identification as an inhibitor of binding between a3 and d2 (76). Proposed mechanisms of action included inhibition of cAMP and cGMP phosphodiesterase activity, as an anti-oxidant, by inhibition of NF kappa B-based pathways (the precise mechanism is unclear), by inhibition of cyclooxygenase 2, and by triggering apoptosis through generation of reactive oxygen species. Luteolin was shown, prior to work on V-ATPase, to inhibit osteoclasts, reduce bone loss in rats after ovariectomy and prevent titanium-induced bone loss (77).

Manolson’s group demonstrated inhibition of bone resorption without inhibition of cell survival, osteoclast formation or effects on V-ATPase gene expression (75). They suggested that this effect was due to disrupting the integrity of those V-ATPases that contain a3 and d2, which they propose are specifically those involved in the specialized function of bone resorption. Their results contrasted with previous studies, which reported inhibition of osteoclast formation due to disruption of receptor activator of nuclear factor kappa B-ligand signaling, which involves the NF kappa B pathway (77). As with luteolin, the ability of the osteoclasts from the d2-knockout osteoclasts to resorb bone was reduced (47). Luteolin is currently being investigated for use in the treatment of ailments including psoriatic arthritis, asthma, multiple sclerosis, and cancer, but there have been no recent developments in the bone field (78).

**Disruption of binding between the B2-subunit of V-ATPase and microfilaments**

Beth Lee, Stephen Gluck and Shannon Holliday reported
that V-ATPases were isolated bound to microfilaments in osteoclasts, but not in various other cells and tissues (29). They proposed that because the binding was restricted to osteoclasts, it might be part of the mechanism that leads to the formation of the ruffled plasma membrane, the unusual V-ATPase-rich plasma membrane domain required for osteoclast activity. The Holliday lab went on to locate and characterize the microfilament-binding site in the B2-subunit and provide evidence that this site is required for V-ATPase transport to the ruffled membrane in cell culture experiments (28,79,80).

With that basis, a virtual screen seeking small molecules predicted to bind the microfilament-binding surface on the B2-subunit and competitively inhibit its binding to microfilaments was performed (Figure 5) (81). This approach involved making an atomic homology model of the V-ATPase subunit B in Swiss-Model protein structure homology modeling using the SWISS-MODEL workspace, based on the human sequence gi|13938355. Approximately 140,000 small molecules in a National Cancer Center Developmental Therapeutics Program repository were positioned in pockets of the actin-binding site in B2 using DOCKv6.1.0. Small molecules identified as having a high probability of binding the actin-binding site in B2 were then tested for their ability to block interaction between recombinant B2-subunit and microfilaments in binding assays. Among the small molecules identified was enoxacin, a second-generation fluoroquinolone antibiotic, which had been removed from the market in the United States (82,83). This small molecule could be obtained in bulk, had been tested extensively in humans, and remains FDA approved for use in humans. It continues to be used as an antibiotic in many countries, and has a reasonable, though far from spotless, safety profile (83). Like other fluoroquinolones, it has been associated with tendon tears and other side effects.

In vitro studies showed that enoxacin blocked both osteoclast differentiation and bone resorption by mature osteoclasts (81). These inhibitions did not involve triggering apoptosis (84). Although differentiation of multinuclear cells expressing tartrate resistant acid phosphatase (TRAP) activity was blocked in cell culture, expression of a variety of osteoclast selective proteins, like the a3-subunit of V-ATPase, was not affected (84). In the case of TRAP 5b, the enzyme responsible for the high levels of TRAP activity characteristic of osteoclasts, the mRNA and protein were expressed at normal levels, but activation of the proform of TRAP 5b by proteolytic cleavage did not occur. This result and other data suggested that enoxacin disrupts specialized

**Figure 4** Small molecules that bind V-ATPase subunits and prevent their inter-enzyme interaction with other subunits were identified in an effort to selectively inhibit V-ATPases in osteoclasts. The schematic depicts small molecule inhibitors of (A) a3-d2 and (B) a3-B2 interactions. V-ATPases, vacuolar H\(^+\)-ATPases; PRR, (pro) renin receptor.
vesicular trafficking necessary for osteoclast maturation and bone resorption, a result consistent with previous studies examining the role of the V-ATPase-microfilament binding interaction (85).

Enoxacin inhibited titanium-induced osteolysis in a preclinical model (86). However, bone loss was not significantly inhibited in ovariectomized rat model of osteoporosis (L. S. Holliday, T. J. Wronski, E. J. Toro and D. A. Ostrov, unpub.). The half-life of enoxacin is relatively short in vivo and bone penetration is modest (87). Conjugation of enoxacin and other fluoroquinolones to bisphosphonates had been described as a part of an effort to make them more useful for the treatment of osteolysis due to bone infections (88). Bisphosphonates and their conjugates quickly accumulate at sites of active bone remodeling (89). In principle, the bisphosphonate-ester of enoxacin (bis-enoxacin), when introduced into animals should quickly accumulate to block osteoclast activity. Based on this reasoning, bis-enoxacin was synthesized by the Holliday lab in collaboration with SynQuest Laboratories (Alachua, FL, USA) (90).

Bis-enoxacin, like enoxacin, blocked binding between the B2-subunit and microfilaments in in vitro assays, and blocked osteoclast differentiation and bone resorption (90). It was more effective than enoxacin as an inhibitor of bone resorption in vitro, presumably because of the increased local concentration associated with the bone surface, which could be mobilized as osteoclasts began to resorb the bone.

Bis-enoxacin has been tested in three pre-clinical models. It was shown to block orthodontic tooth movement, which requires mechanically induced bone resorption, in a rat model (90). Bis-enoxacin blocked alveolar bone resorption associated with periodontitis in a rat model. In addition to blocking periodontitis-associated bone loss as efficiently as alendronate (91), bis-enoxacin and alendronate significantly reduced systemic oxidative stress associated with periodontal infections (92). Most importantly, bis-enoxacin was recently compared with zoledronate (a standard of care bisphosphonate used for osteoporosis) in a rat model of osteoporosis (93). Both molecules reduced bone loss. However, the bone composition of ovariectomized rats treated with bis-enoxacin was more like sham-ovariectomized animals than bones from the zoledronate treated animals. The proteoglycan content was higher bis-enoxacin-treated animals. Vitally, the strength of the bones and their resistance to fracture was better in the animals.

**Figure 5** Transport of V-ATPases to the ruffled plasma membrane requires binding between the B2-subunit of V-ATPase and microfilaments. The small molecule enoxacin binds B2 and inhibits this interaction. This may account for some or all of the inhibition of osteoclast bone resorption by enoxacin and bis-enoxacin in vitro. This also may account for reduction of orthodontic tooth movement, periodontal-disease induced bone loss, and bone loss after ovariectomy in pre-clinical models. V-ATPases, vacuolar H^+-ATPases; PRR, (pro)renin receptor.
treated with bis-enoxacin compared with zoledronate. This shows that the composition of the bone is altered by bis-enoxacin in a beneficial way (93).

Based on these data, bis-enoxacin, or therapeutics with the same mechanism, represent a different class of potential anti-osteoporosis therapeutics, which reduce loss of bone mineral density and stimulate the formation of bone, which is intrinsically stronger and more resistant to fractures. Currently standard of care molecules (like zoledronate and the anti-RANKL inhibitor Denosumab) have reduced osteoporotic fracture rates significantly. However, there are still millions of fractures each year in the United States, and the reduction in fracture risk attributable to the current therapeutics has flattened out in recent years (94,95). A different type of therapeutic agent (like bis-enoxacin?) will be required to further reduce or eliminate osteoporotic fractures. It is possible that bis-enoxacin will work synergistically with other resorption inhibitors, but that has not yet been tested.

Enoxacin, bis-enoxacin, cancer and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS)

Concurrent with reports that enoxacin blocks binding between V-ATPase and microfilaments, other groups independently identified enoxacin as a stimulator of RNA interference and microRNA activity (96,97). The finding that microRNA activity was stimulated suggested a novel approach to treating cancer. MicroRNAs are a class of small RNAs that bind to specific mRNAs and block their expression (98). A general reduction in microRNA activity, which allows the expression of oncogenic proteins, was proposed to be important in oncogenesis (99). It follows that general stimulation, as reported to be achieved by enoxacin, might disrupt cancer cells and tumor formation. A collaboration involving the labs of Manel Estellar, George Calin, Carlo Croce and Raghu Kalluri, reported that enoxacin blocked the growth of colorectal cells in vitro and tumor metastasis and progression in a xenografted mouse model (100). They reported increases in microRNA activity were associated with interaction between enoxacin and TAR RNA binding protein. A subsequent study by Stamenkovic and colleagues showed that enoxacin is useful in the treatment of Ewing Sarcoma in a mouse model (101). They also found that it works synergistically with doxorubicin. The use of enoxacin to treat cancer in humans has not yet been reported.

Following similar logic, the Hornstein group reported that enoxacin (which crosses the blood brain barrier) is beneficial for neuromuscular function in two mouse models of ALS (102). They had found that down regulation of microRNA expression is a common denominator of multiple forms of human ALS.

Taken together with the bone studies, there is increasing evidence that enoxacin, bis-enoxacin or molecules with similar activity, may be useful to use in the treatment of important human diseases. However, several competing mechanisms to explain the therapeutic action have been advanced. Further progress may require an understanding of the activity that produces the therapeutic effects.

Mechanisms of enoxacin/bis-enoxacin

Multiple mechanisms have been proposed to explain the therapeutic effects of enoxacin. As described above, enoxacin first emerged from screens seeking inhibitors of binding between V-ATPase and microfilaments and stimulators of microRNAs. In addition, enoxacin suppresses the JNK signaling pathway (86), and induces alternative splicing of MdmX transcript and rescue of p53 activity (103). The RNA helicase DHX9 and PIWIL3 have also recently been identified as possible therapeutic targets of enoxacin (104). Another possibility is that enoxacin and bis-enoxacin, by binding the V-ATPase B2-subunit, may disrupt interactions with the stator arms composed of E and G subunits. If this required higher concentrations of enoxacin, it could explain high concentration effects of enoxacin in killing cancer (and other) cells.

It is possible that most or all of these activities are required for the therapeutic effects of enoxacin. In this case, enoxacin or very similar molecules may be uniquely capable of having the therapeutic effects that have been documented. Strategies would then depend on delivering or targeting the molecule to specific locations, as with bis-enoxacin targeting enoxacin to bone. Another possibility is that all of the detected activities have a common origin. For example, it is known that V-ATPases are integrated into various metabolic and physiologic pathways. Perhaps disruption of targeting of V-ATPases by enoxacin leads to alterations in microRNA levels and other signaling pathways. Alternatively, it is plausible that stimulation of microRNAs, rescue of p53 or stimulation of the JNK pathway could have a variety of cellular effects. We think that it is informative that enoxacin and bis-enoxacin inhibit osteoclast formation and bone resorption in cell culture at concentrations where we do not detect significant increases in microRNA activity in
osteoclasts (Zuo and Holliday, unpublished observation). In addition, we identified another inhibitor of the V-ATPase-microfilament binding interaction, directed toward another part of the actin-binding pocket on the B2-subunit, with a completely different structure compared with enoxacin that exhibited similar or identical effects on osteoclast formation and bone resorption (81). It is unlikely that both molecules also directly stimulate microRNAs. Nevertheless, additional research is required to establish the mechanism of enoxacin's therapeutic effects with respect to different pathologies. We believe at this point that the crucial conclusion is that enoxacin and bis-enoxacin work by different mechanisms than the current therapeutic agents that are used to treat bone diseases, cancer and ALS, and may have benefits either alone or in combination other therapeutics. Synergistic effects between enoxacin and doxorubicin demonstrated for the treatment of Ewing Sarcoma in a preclinical model support this idea (101).

Virtual similarity based screening identifies new V-ATPase inhibitors

Rational approaches to identifying V-ATPase inhibitors have yielded agents like luteolin and enoxacin that have intriguing therapeutic characteristics, but multiple mechanisms of action. To identify novel agents with similar activity or analogs to these agents with higher therapeutic activity, which of the known mechanistic activities should be used to screen. For example, a more potent inhibitor of microfilament-B-subunit interaction might be identified, but if that activity is not important for the therapeutic effect, it would have no therapeutic value. An approach to this problem is to perform virtual screens seeking molecules with similar structures to known therapeutics with desired properties. This is a computational, lead molecule approach that can utilize multiple lead molecules with different structures. Candidates can be narrowed from a very large library to a few molecules, which can then be screened directly against a target cell (or perhaps even a disease in some preclinical models). This approach is less concerned about underlying mechanism of action and instead focuses on the desired therapeutic effect.

Results of such an approach to identifying V-ATPase-directed anti-cancer molecules recently appeared. Virtual screening was performed, directed by the structures of four disparate V-ATPase-inhibitors, enoxacin, diphyllin, benzimidazole analog and NIK-12192 (105). The virtual screen was performed assaying chemical compounds in the University of Cincinnati, Drug Discovery Center (UC DDC) Library, consisting of 362,000 compounds, and the National Cancer Institute (NCI) Small Molecule Repository (SMR), with 263,365 compounds. After identifying 429 compounds, manual screening of these by Lipinski’s rules and visual perception of relatedness to compounds of interest, selected 28 compounds. These were screened for activity against MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB-468 cells. An initial lead molecule was identified and variations were synthesized. This process identified a novel bisbenzimidazole pharmacophore that has a mid-nanomolar IC50 toward MDA-MB-468 cells and represents the first V-ATPase inhibitor of its class (105).

Perspectives

Because of its central role in cellular physiology and pathophysiology, V-ATPase is an exciting target for therapeutic development, but one that is extremely challenging. Knockouts of isoforms of V-ATPases that are selectively expressed in osteoclasts and involved in bone remodeling lead to inhibition of bone resorption and increased bone formation (52). Unusual V-ATPases are vital for cancer growth and metastasis (6). Agents that block the V-ATPases involved in these pathologies are plausible routes to treating these diseases. Already, rationally identified molecules targeting V-ATPases, enoxacin and its bisphosphonate ester, bis-enoxacin, have been reported to have unique and beneficial activities for the treatment of bone disease and cancer in preclinical models (86,90,91,93,100,101). Increasing knowledge of V-ATPases, and how their structure, binding interactions, regulation in different physiologic circumstances, and the diversity of V-ATPases derived from subunit isoforms and splice variants, comes into play in generating their cell specific activities, should open new paths for the rational identification of V-ATPase-directed therapeutics. The potential of such agents warrants the efforts required to overcome the challenges incumbent in targeting a housekeeping enzyme for drug development.
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